

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO    | D.     | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |                         |                  |  |
|-------------------|--------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
|                   |        | T.DING BITTE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
| 10/751,375        |        | 01/05/2004   | Robert P. Benjey     | 03-ASD-186 (GT) 2642    |                  |  |
| 200               | 7590   | 11/30/2004   |                      |                         |                  |  |
| EATON             | CODDOD |              | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| EATON CORPORATION |        |              |                      | SELLS, JAMES D          |                  |  |
| EATON C           |        |              |                      |                         |                  |  |
| 1111 SUP          |        |              |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| CLEVELA           | ND, OH | 44114        |                      | 1734                    |                  |  |
|                   |        |              |                      | 1734                    |                  |  |
|                   |        |              |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                         |
|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| Office Action Summary   | 10/751,375  | BENJEY ET AL.  |                         |
| omec Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                         |
| The MAN INC DATE AND  | James Sells   | 1734   |                         |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence addi  | ress                    |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to<br>oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro | timely filed<br>ays will be considered timely.<br>m the mailing date of this com | munication.             |
| Status  |   |  |                         |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second se | s action is non-final.<br>Ince except for formal matters, or  | osecution as to the m  | ierits is               |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |                         |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | wn from consideration.  |  |                         |
| Application Papers  | ·   |  |                         |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.  | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected.                                       | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  | .121(d).<br><b>52</b> . |
| 1   |   |  |                         |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | have been received.  have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a))   | on No<br>d in this National Stag   | je                      |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |                         |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary (F<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date<br>5) Notice of Informal Pal<br>6) Other:  | e  |                         |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Activ  | on Summary Dort   |  |                         |

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 12, 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Benjey et al (US Patent 6,484,741).

Benjey discloses a fuel tank vent valve and a method of making it. As shown in Fig. 1, the valve assembly 10 (applicant's claimed body member) is positioned in opening 12 of the top wall 14 of a fuel tank. The valve assembly comprises upper portion 20, flange 40, groove 42, annular member 46 (applicant's claimed annular adaptor), over mold 48 with flange 50 (applicant's claimed annular mounting member) weldable to the tank by hot-plate welding (see col. 3, lines 28-32). Seal ring 44 bonds the annular member to the over mold in the manner claimed by the applicant.

At col. 1, lines 46-50, Benjey discloses that the materials suitable for fabricating the valve body and float are non-weldable to the HDPE material of the fuel tank. At col. 2, lines 27-33, Benjey discloses that the over mold 48 is formed of high density polyethylene (HDPE) and that the valve body is formed of aliphatic polyketone,

Art Unit: 1734

polythalamine, polyamide, acteyl, polyester, polyphenylene sulphide or glass filled polyamide.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-11, and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benjey et al as described above in paragraph 2 in view of DeCapua et al (US Patent 6,422,261).

DeCapua discloses a weldable mount for a fuel system component. As shown in Fig. 11, apparatus 410 is welded to fuel tank 11 by spin welding (see col. 5, lines 56-58). At col. 5, lines 64-67, DeCapua teaches that this spin welding technique is equivalent to hot plate welding or adhesive bonding.

Regarding claims 2 and 18, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute spin welding, as taught by DeCapua, for the hot plate welding discloses by Benjey because DeCapua teaches spin welding and hot late welding are functionally equivalent alternate expedients in the art.

Regarding claims 3-4, 8-11, 16-17 and 19-20 it is the examiner's position that the specific materials used, (i.e. unreinforced polyamide, Maleic anhydride) are well known

Art Unit: 1734

and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Benjey based on desirable physical properties of such materials.

Page 4

Regarding claim 5, employing tapered surfaces inherently improves alignment of the work pieces during spin welding operations. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ tapered surfaces in the system of Benjey in view of DeCapua as described above.

Regarding claims 6-7 and 14-15, it is the examiner's position that overmolding and two-shot molding are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Benjey based on desirable physical characteristics of the bonding techniques.

#### Claim Objections

5. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 16, line 4, "Maleic anhydride, balance HDPE" should be changed to -- Maleic anhydride balance HDPE --

Appropriate correction is required.

#### References

6. References C-F are cited as prior art of interest.

Art Unit: 1734

## Telephone/Fax

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

> JAMES SELLS PRIMARY EXAMINER

> TECH. CENTER 1700